

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/044,646 | 01/10/2002 | Vikas Krishna | ARC920010031US1 | 8578 | |
| 23334 7 | 2590 02/24/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FLEIT, KAIN, GIBBONS, GUTMAN, BONGINI | | | PHAM, HUNG Q | | |
| & BIANCO P. | _ ' | | | | |
| ONE BOCA COMMERCE CENTER | | | · ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 551 NORTHWEST 77TH STREET, SUITE 111 | | | 2162 | | |
| BOCA RATO | N, FL 33487 | | | _ | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/044,646 | KRISHN | KRISHNA ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | HUNG Q PHAM | 2162 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under to after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended por Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF | COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period weriod for reply will, by statute, hree months after the mailing | 6(a). In no event, however, m within the statutory minimum (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becor | ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be cons MONTHS from the mailing one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C | sidered timely. date of this communication. c. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 September 2004</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 20-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 states Applicant may not request the Replacement drawing sheet(states 11) The oath or declaration is o | September 2004 is/a t any objection to the d) including the correction | re: a)⊠ accepted or lrawing(s) be held in abo on is required if the drav | eyance. See 37 CFR ving(s) is objected to. | 1.85(a). See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | • | Paper | ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Applic | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2162

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

The Affidavit filed on 09/17/2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the filing date of Guturu reference.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Drawings

A corrected drawing with respect to the objection of FIG. 2 in previous Office Action was received on 09/17/2004. The drawing is accepted and the objection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2162

Claims 20 recite the limitation *the predetermined identifier* in the step of appending at least one new record in the first database. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 20, 21, 24, 26, 27, 30, 32, 33 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mahajan et al. [USP 6,226,650 B1].

Regarding claims 20, 26 and 32, Mahajan teaches a method of synchronizing remote database on a first database server (FIG. 1, server 18) networked to other database servers (FIG. 1, clients 16 A-C) containing collaborative database information records (Col. 4, Lines 26-29). The method comprises:

selecting at least one remote database server (FIG. 5A, Col. 10, Lines 21-24, a client database as remote database server is selected by an updated request);

Art Unit: 2162

accessing locally on a first database server, at least one database record (modification files 86 as database records on server 18 as first database server are accessed for transmitting to client 16, Col. 6, Lines 23-36), wherein the modification file as database record includes at least one field for each of a sequence number field (sequence number field, Col. 6, Lines 25-27), a problem identifier field (client number ID field, FIG. 4, Col. 8, Lines 14-15), and a work history field (transaction field, FIG. 4, Col. 8, Lines 3-8);

searching for at least one database record with a predetermined value in the work history field (modification files with transaction A, C, R, J as predetermined value in the work history field are searched by sequence number, Col. 6, Lines 23-36);

with the predetermined value as a starting point for synchronization with the remote database server (the received sequence number is used to send modification files with sequence number greater than the received sequence number to update client database, and a modification file with transaction A, C, R, J as predetermined value in the work history field, Col. 6, Lines 30-36).

As illustrated at Col. 6, Lines 23-45, sequence numbers are associated with the modification files. When the client computer system 16 accesses server 18, the client transmits to the server the sequence number of the last update file it received from the server. Based on the sequence number received from the client, the server transmits only files that were not previously transmitted to the client by sending only those modification files with a sequence number greater than the sequence number received from the client. As seen, a modification file that was not previously transmitted to the client is a new record that has a structure as shown in FIG. 4 with transaction A, C, R, J as

Art Unit: 2162

predetermined value in the work history field. The modification file as new record is appended in database management system 100 of FIG. 2 or the first database.

Referring back to the illustration at Col. 6, Lines 23-45 as discussed above, the modification file of database management system 100 in FIG. 2 that was not previously transmitted to the client is associated with a sequence number greater than the sequence number of the last update. In other words, a new sequence number is stored in the at least one new record in the first database, wherein the new sequence number is an increment of a final sequence number of a final database record sent to the remote database.

Regarding claims 21, 27 and 33, Mahajan teaches all of the claimed subject matter as discussed above with respects to claim 20, 26 and 32, Mahajan further discloses the step of *searching for a predetermined entry which does not Include a time entry* (Col. 6, Lines 23-36).

Regarding claims 24, 30 and 36, Mahajan teaches all of the claimed subject matter as discussed above with respect to claims 20, 26 and 32, Mahajan further discloses the step of sending to the remote database server with a second database schema that is different than a first database schema for the first database server (FIG. 3A and 3C).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2162

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 22, 23, 28, 29, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahajan et al. [USP 6,226,650 B1] in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art [Background].

Regarding claims 22, 28 and 34, Mahajan teaches all of the claimed subject matter as discussed above with respect to claims 20, 26 and 32, but fails to disclose the database record is sent for *customer service information*. However, in the background is the disclosure of a help desk application for customer service. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Mahajan method by using the technique as discussed for storing customer

Art Unit: 2162

service information in order to maintain synchronization among a server and a help desk client.

Regarding claims 23, 29 and 35, Mahajan teaches all of the claimed subject matter as discussed above with respect to claims 20, 26 and 32, but fails to disclose the database record is sent for a help desk application. However, in the background is the disclosure of a help desk application for customer service. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Mahajan method by using the technique as discussed for storing customer service information in order to maintain synchronization among a server and a help desk client.

Claims 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahajan et al. [USP 6,226,650 B1] and Applicant Admitted Prior Art [Background] as applied to claims 24 above, and further in view of Rackman [USP 5,903,646].

Regarding claim 25, Mahajan and Applicant Admitted Prior Art, in combination, teach all of the claimed subject matter as discussed above with respect to claim 24, but does not disclose the database record from the first database server with the first database schema that has been previously designated as non-confidential. Rackman teaches a technique of designating a document as confidential and non-confidential (Rackman, Col. 3, Line 57-Col. 4, Line 40). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 2162

the invention was made to designate a document as non-confidential and confidential in order to secure access to a database.

Claims 31 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahajan et al. [USP 6,226,650 B1] in view of Rackman [USP 5,903,646].

Regarding claims 31 and 37, Mahajan teaches all of the claimed subject matter as discussed above with respect to claims 26 and 32, but does not disclose *the database record from the first database server with the first database schema that has been previously designated as non-confidential*. Rackman teaches a technique of designating a document as confidential and non-confidential (Rackman, Col. 3, Line 57-Col. 4, Line 40). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to designate a document as non-confidential and confidential in order to secure access to a database.

Art Unit: 2162

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUNG Q PHAM whose telephone number is 571-272-4040. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOHN E BREENE can be reached on 571-272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,646 Page 10

Art Unit: 2162

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner Hung Pham February 16, 2005

SHAHID ALAM SHAHID ALAM SHAHID ALAM SHAHID ALAM SHAHID ALAM